Title:
*Social Media and the Transformation of Value, Values & Subjectivity*

Abstract:
Social Media and their contiguous web devices are currently restructuring the ways in which people can create, assess, and engage with various forms of value – from moral and aesthetic values to economic and financial value. As a digital ecology of interconnected platforms, applications, and user cultures in which the adjudication and measurement of value(s) is a perpetual public concern, social media present us with a vibrant environment for the study of everyday practices of valuation, justification, aspiration, and subject formation. This panel brings together scholars whose work deals with activities and processes of valuation in social media, which are all constitutive of the common worlds created on social media platforms – and which consequently make these platforms so valuable to its many stakeholders. The panel will inquire into the tension between moral/ethical values and monetary value, asking questions about the relationship between economic rationales and collective affects, and between quantification, different modes of calculation, and public performances of evaluation. Furthermore, it will attend to the technicity of valuation and its inextricable connection to processes of data circulation, platform ecologies and politics of visualization. Are we witnessing the cultivation of new forms of neoliberal subjectivity, which equate a person’s worth with their measurable economic value within increasingly competitive settings, or are we instead seeing the birth of new ways to create value – ways that are more ethical, collective, and just?

Participants:
Adam Arvidsson (University of Milan, IT): adam.arvidsson@unimi.it
Beverly Skeggs (Goldsmiths, UK): B.Skeggs@gold.ac.uk
Simon Yuill (Goldsmiths, UK): sos01sy@gold.ac.uk
Taina Bucher (University of Oslo, NO): wfg568@hum.ku.dk
Johannes Pasmann (University of Siegen, DE): johannes.passmann@uni-siegen.de
Niels van Doorn (University of Amsterdam, NL): nielsvandoorn@uva.nl

The panel will be moderated by Carolin Gerlitz (c.gerlitz@uva.nl) and Niels van Doorn.

Individual abstracts:

Adam Arvidsson – Collaborative Crowds. A Digital Ethnography of Adolescent Fan Cultures on Twitter.
In this study we have looked at the crowd dynamics of adolescent fandom by gathering a corpus of 110,000 tweets around the top ten trending teen-band tags on twitter during a week of May 2013. The result is an image of fan cultures as structured by cascades of imitation, with very little in terms of deliberation of information transfer. However, this dynamics differs from classic accounts of crowds in two ways. First, the directionality of crowding on twitter is given by the algocratic affordances of the platform itself: this way crowd behavior becomes directed towards the purposeful pursuit of individual visibility (defined as number of RT and @) and, at the collective level of turning a hashtag into a tending topic. Second, individual actors are reflexively aware of the power of the crowd in achieving celebrity and trend and frequently appeal to it in their attempt to pursue these aims. This gives rise to a collaborative ethic whereby individuals make affective appeals to the collective identity of the crowd in order to attract and mobilize its potential for the purpose at
Looking at fan-culture on Twitter from the point of view of crowd dynamics provides new insights on the nature of value and value creation on social media platforms.

Beverly Skeggs and Simon Yuill—A Sociology of Value and Values
We will draw on findings from an ESRC research project on ‘A Sociology of Values and Value’ which explores the relationship between values and value on Facebook. We ask if FB has had any impact on the re-organisation of relational values (friendship, attention, care) and a person’s value through its technical structuring for extracting monetary value. Our previous research demonstrated how the public performance of one’s value (incited on TV) was central to how we learn to recognize the legitimate and proper subject, with significant implications for the institutions of law, welfare and education. Is digital media generating similar incitements and what are the implications?

Taina Bucher—Putting protocols to work: On the politics of the Twitter APIs
Starting from the premise that application programming interfaces constitute a technology of government, this paper seeks to open up a line of inquiry into the specificity of APIs as protocological objects, asking not so much what APIs are, but of what they do. Exploring the particular case of the Twitter APIs, and drawing on interviews with Twitter third-party developers, this paper examines the power relations imbued in APIs and the kinds of practices that APIs allow for, and constrain. Whilst often portrayed as being representative of a broader ‘turn towards openness’, the empirical findings suggest that APIs are highly controlled gateways to data, strategically implemented to channel practices of creative coding and processes of subjectivation.

Johannes Passmann—Playing with value(s). Retweet and fav in the German Favstar Sphere
On German-speaking Twitter, largely two groups can be differentiated among different usage practices for the same button: Whereas one group rather uses the favorite-button as a bookmark to save tweets they want to come back to later, the other one made a kind of competition out of gathering as much favs as possible and comparing this over the third party platform favstar.fm. The talk tries to trace, how this attribution of value to this platform activity came about. The company Twitter presents it as a bottom-up development of alternative usage practices. Drawing on an online and offline participant observation of the group which developed around the valued fav-button, I will discuss in how far third party platforms such as Favstar and Software updates by the platform Twitter have to be considered the most important driver of this collective value attribution.

Niels van Doorn—The Neoliberal Subject of Value: Measuring Human Capital in Information Economies
In this paper, I explore the affective ambiguities of what Tiziana Terranova (2000) has termed “free labor”, or the “voluntarily given and unwaged, enjoyed and exploited” activities that generate the digital data, content, and networks central to informational capitalism. If, as Terranova argued, free labor is characterized by exhaustion – due to the lack of means by which this labor can sustain itself – why are millions of people still sustaining a commitment to these pervasive modes of unremunerated work? To formulate an answer to this question I turn to the neoliberal theory of human capital, which effectively eviscerates the concept of labor by positing an entrepreneurial subject for whom work is a form of rational economic conduct. I subsequently discuss a case study of Klout, a digital device that scores and ranks users according to their perceived ‘influence’, which has become an important – if contentious – measure of human capital in information economies.
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